



03/15/90 03:02 :02/03 NO:592

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT

Applicant: Mario DiMarco
Serial No.: 09/224,340
Filing Date: December 31, 1998
Title: MODIFIED IMA CABINET
ARCHITECTURE

Docket No.: 46180.3900
Client Ref: A62-17022-US
Group Art Unit: 2841
Examiner: Dinh, T.

AFFIDAVIT OF PARM NARVESON

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231-0001

RECEIVED
DEC 05 2000
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dear Assistant Commissioner:

I, PARM NARVESON, declare as follows:

1. I am Director, AT-OEM systems and Applications at Honeywell International Inc.
2. Oftentimes, in an industry as specialized as the avionics industry, potential customers meet with companies in the business of providing avionics systems in order to survey technologies under development. Such meetings are not for the purpose of consummating a sale (or even offering goods for sale), but rather to inform and educate potential customers on the future of the industry. Such meetings provide a preview of ideas that may later develop in the avionics industry.
3. On July 2, 1997, Mario DiMarco, Khosrow Youssefi, and I met with representatives from Airbus. At this time, Airbus was surveying up and coming technology in the field of avionics systems.
4. Since Airbus' purpose in meeting with Honeywell was only for sampling "up and coming" technology, at no time did Honeywell and Airbus discuss offering for sale or the purchasing of such technology.
5. Honeywell presented a conception of ideas for improving current avionics technology. The presentation to Airbus focused on features of various avionics systems, both existing and under development. For example, the presentation included an overview of considerations in the packaging of PCBs, including line replaceability, an A/C cooling interface, environmental requirements (e.g., EMI, thermal, and vibration), and interconnect complexity. Honeywell portrayed card cages in comparison to modules and line replaceable units.
6. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-referenced patent application or any patent issuing thereon.



03/15/90 03:02 :03/03 NO:592

Date 11-29-2000

Parm L. Narveson
Parm Narveson

STATE OF ARIZONA
COUNTY OF MARICOPA

On this day, 11-29-00, before me, Doris M. Bergmann,
a notary public in and for said county, personally appeared:

Parm L. Narveson

- ☒ personally known to me or
☐ proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence

to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal:

Doris M. Bergmann
Signature of Notary Public



RECEIVED
DEC 05 2000
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800